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If you were king, what would you do with C#?
Nullable Reference Types

- Invoking a member on a null value will issue a `System.NullReferenceException` exception, and every invocation that results in a `System.NullReferenceException` in production code is a bug.

- With nullable reference types we “fall in” to doing the wrong thing rather than the right thing. (The “fall in” action is to invoke a reference type without checking for null.)

- There’s an inconsistency between reference types and value types (following the introduction of Nullable<T>) in that value types are nullable when decorated with “?” (for example, `int?` number); otherwise, they default to non-nullable.

- It’s not possible to run static flow analysis to check all paths regarding whether a value will be null before dereferencing it, or not.

- There’s no reasonable syntax to indicate that a reference type value of null is invalid for a particular declaration.

- There’s no way to decorate parameters to not allow null
What to do about it?

Provide syntax to expect null:

- Enable the developer to explicitly identify when a reference type is expected to contain nulls—and, therefore, not flag occasions when it’s explicitly assigned null.

Make default reference types expect non-nullable:

- Change the default expectation of all reference types to be non-nullable, but do so with an opt-in compiler switch rather than suddenly overwhelm the developer with warnings for existing code.

Decrease the occurrence of NullReferenceExceptions:

- Reduce the likelihood of NullReferenceException exceptions by improving the static flow analysis that flags potential occasions where a value hasn’t been explicitly checked for null before invoking one of the value’s members.

Enable suppression of static flow analysis warning:

- Support some form of “trust me, I’m a programmer” declaration that allows the developer to override the static flow analysis of the compiler and, therefore, suppress any warnings of a possible NullReferenceException.
Conclusion: Nullable Reference Type

• Warning you to remove a null assignment to a non-nullable type potentially eliminates a bug because a value is no longer null when it shouldn’t be.

• Alternatively, adding a nullable modifier improves your code by being more explicit about your intent.

• Over time the impedance mismatch between nullable updated code and older code will dissolve, decreasing the NullReferenceException bugs that used to occur.

• The nullability feature is off by default on existing projects so you can delay dealing with it until a time of your choosing. In the end you have more robust code. For cases where you know better than the compiler, you can use the ! operator (declaring, “Trust me, I’m a programmer.”) like a cast.

• Nullable types don’t have any semantic impact, they only issue warnings.
class Address
{
    public string Street1 { get; }
    public string? Street2 { get; }
    public string City { get; }
    public string Zip { get; }
    public string Country { get; }
}

// Initialize new int[]{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8}
int[] array = Enumerable.Range(0, 9).ToArray();

lastItem = array[(array.Length - 1)];
Assert.AreEqual(8, lastItem);

lastItem = array[new Index(1, true)];
Assert.AreEqual(8, lastItem);

lastItem = array[^1];
Assert.AreEqual(8, lastItem);
Span<int> span;

span = array[Range.Create(4, new Index(2, true))];
Assert.AreEquivalent(new int[]{4, 5, 6}, span);

span = array[4..^2];  // array[Range.Create(4, new Index(2, true))]
Assert.AreEquivalent(new int[]{4, 5, 6}, span);

span = array[..^3];  // array[Range.ToEnd(new Index(3, true))]
Assert.AreEquivalent(new int[]{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5}, span);

span = array[2..];  // array[Range.FromStart(2)]
Assert.AreEquivalent(new int[]{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8}, span);

span = array[Range.All()];  // array[Range.All()]
Assert.AreEquivalent(new int[]{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8}, span);
System.Span<T>, System.Index, System.Range

**Span<T>**
- **Fields**
  - _length As int
- **Properties**
  - Empty As Span<T>
  - IsEmpty As bool
  - Length As int
- **Methods**
  - Equals() As bool
  - GetEnumerator() As Enumerator
  - GetHashCode() As int
  - implicit operator Span<T>() As Span<T> (+ 1 overload)
- **Nested Types**

**Range**
- **Properties**
  - End As Index
  - Start As Index
- **Methods**
  - All() As Range
  - Create() As Range
  - FromStart() As Range
  - Range()
  - ToEnd() As Range

**Index**
- **Fields**
  - _value As int
- **Properties**
  - FromEnd As bool
  - Value As int
- **Methods**
  - implicit operator Index() As Index
  - Index()
Switch expressions are enabled:
return switch
{
    Professor p => ${p.LastName}
}

Property Patterns

person switch
{
    Person { LastName: "Montoya", FirstName: var firstName }
    null => "the value is null"
}

Type pattern never matches a null.
Exception is thrown if there is fall through
Be sure that if you use a deconstructor, the order needs to be well known or obvious.

"string" wouldn’t match nullable values. (string? not allowed).
var is the nullable version
Async Streams

Enabling LINQ Over Events

**IAsyncEnumerable<T>**
Generic Interface
- Methods
  - GetAsyncEnumerator() As IAsyncEnumerable<T>

**IAsyncEnumerator<T>**
Generic Interface
- IAsyncDisposable
- Properties
  - Current As T
- Methods
  - MoveNextAsync() As Task<bool>

**IAsyncDisposable**
Interface
- Methods
  - DisposeAsync() As Task
IAsyncEnumerator<T> enumerator = enumerable.GetAsyncEnumerator();
try {
    while (await enumerator.WaitForNextAsync())
    {
        while (true)
        {
            int item = enumerator.TryGetNext(out bool success);
            if (!success) break;
            Use(item);
        }
    }
} finally { await enumerator.DisposeAsync(); }
```csharp
foreach await (T item in enumerable)
{
    Use(item);
}
```
Default Interfaces

class ITraceable
{
    static public int IndentationCount
    {
        get; set;
    }

    public string GetMessage() =>
        this.ToString();
}

• Explicit access modifiers would be permissible: private, protected, internal, public, virtual, abstract, override, sealed, static, extern (the default is public).
• You could not have fields.
• Static methods, properties, indexers, and events would also be allowable.
return switch (person) {
    Professor(_, var lastName, var subject) item =>
        $"Dr. {lastName} teaching {subject}" ,
    Student { FirstName: var firstName, Advisor { LastName: var advisorLastName } } =>
        $"" ,
    (string firstName, _) {
        { EnrollmentStatus: EnrollmentStatus.Enrolled } =>
            $"" ,
        { } => "Enrollment has passed. See you next year." ,
    null => "Oh No!!"
}
Async Streams:
- Enables LINQ over events

Make default reference types expect non-nullable:
- Change the default expectation of all reference types to be non-nullable, but do so with an opt-in compiler switch rather than suddenly overwhelm the developer with warnings for existing code.

Decrease the occurrence of NullReferenceExceptions:
- Reduce the likelihood of NullReferenceException exceptions by improving the static flow analysis that flags potential occasions where a value hasn’t been explicitly checked for null before invoking one of the value’s members.

Enable suppression of static flow analysis warning:
- Support some form of “trust me, I’m a programmer” declaration that allows the developer to override the static flow analysis of the compiler and, therefore, suppress any warnings of a possible NullReferenceException.
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